



[REDACTED]

1 November 2024

Dear [REDACTED],

Freedom of Information request: FOI2024/00908

Thank you for your Freedom of Information request received on the 8 October in which you requested the following:

Your request:

Innovate UK UK-Taiwan CRD 2024

- 1. The number of applications.*
- 2. The number of assessed applications.*
- 3. The number of successful applications.*
- 4. The success rate.*
- 5. The minimum successful score.*
- 6. The maximum successful score.*
- 7. Maximum score of failed applications.*
- 8. The job title or level of the personnel within Innovate UK that are decision makers for the criteria of selection.*
- 9. The job title or level of the personnel within Innovate UK that follows the selection criteria to make the go/no-go decisions in selection of the winning projects and rejected projects.*
- 10. The criteria for selection for any projects awarded funding through the portfolio approach.*
- 11. The criteria for rejection for any projects that scored above threshold, but were rejected as a result of a portfolio approach.*
- 12. Average grant fund value per project.*
- 13. Average score of funded projects.*
- 14. Of the proposed projects that were funded, whether the UK lead partner has been a project lead for any other Innovate UK projects in the last 12 months.*
- 15. Of the proposed projects that were rejected, whether the UK lead partner has been a project lead for any other Innovate UK projects in the last 12 months.*
- 16. The score distributions of the applications (e.g. % (or number) of applications which scored <70, 70.1-75, 75.1-80, 80.1-85, 85.1-90, 90.1-95, 95.1-100).*
- 17. The count of assessed applications by innovation area.*
- 18. The count of successful projects by innovation area.*
- 19. The count of assessed applications by research category (feasibility studies, industrial research, experimental development).*
- 20. The count of successful projects by research category.*
- 21. The number of unsuccessful applications, if any, which scored above the minimum funded score.*

Our response:

I can confirm that UK Research and Innovation (UKRI) holds information relevant to your request. Please see the information below.

The projects selected for funding in the UK-Taiwan CRD 2024 competition were agreed in collaboration with Taiwan's [Department of Industrial Technology \(DoIT\)](#)¹. Each agency conducted their own assessments of projects submitted to their respective portals.

Table 1: Questions 1-15 & 21

Question	Answer
1. The number of applications	51
2. The number of assessed applications	45
3. The number of successful applications	9
4. The success rate ¹	20%
5. The minimum successful score ²	77.3
6. The maximum successful score ²	90.3
7. Maximum score of failed applications ²	85.3
8. The job title or level of the personnel within Innovate UK that are decision makers for the criteria of selection	Band F
9. The job title or level of the personnel within Innovate UK that follows the selection criteria to make the go/no-go decisions in selection of the winning projects and rejected projects	Band F
10. The criteria for selection for any projects awarded funding through the portfolio approach	Projects need to be awarded 70% in Innovate UK scoring, and the Taiwan partner needs to meet the minimum scoring criteria of the DoIT evaluation.
11. The criteria for rejection for any projects that scored above threshold, but were rejected as a result of a portfolio approach	Projects not scoring 70% in Innovate UK scoring and/or Taiwan partner not scoring sufficiently highly in the DoIT evaluation.
12. Average grant fund value per project ³	£672,605.96
13. Average score of funded projects ¹	82.3
14. Of the proposed projects that were funded, whether the UK lead partner has been a project lead for any other Innovate UK projects in the last 12 months	0
15. Of the proposed projects that were rejected, whether the UK lead partner has been a project lead for any other Innovate UK projects in the last 12 months	6
21. The number of unsuccessful applications, if any, which scored above the minimum funded score ⁴	10

¹ Based off number of eligible applications vs successful applications

² All scores are written assessment scores and are given as percentages

³ Average value of funding sought for 'successful' applications at the assessment stage – 'successful' applications are still subject to due diligence process and may not be funded

⁴ The number of unsuccessful applications with a score greater than or equal to the minimum score of successful applications

Table 2: Question 16. The score distributions of the applications (e.g. % (or number) of applications which scored <70, 70.1-75, 75.1-80, 80.1-85, 85.1-90, 90.1-95, 95.1-100)

Score Range	Count	%
<=70	13	29%
70.1-75	10	22%
75.1-80	13	29%
80.1-85	6	13%
85.1-90	2	4%
90.1-95	1	2%
95.1-100	0	0%
Total	45	100%

¹ https://www.moea.gov.tw/Mns/doit_e/home/Home.aspx

Table 3: Questions 17 & 18. The count of assessed applications by innovation area & The count of successful projects by innovation area

Innovation Area	Assessed Applications	Funded Applications
Additive layer manufacturing (ALM)	1	0
Aerospace	2	1
Diagnostics, medical technology and devices	6	1
Digital health	2	0
Digital industries	1	0
Digital manufacturing	1	0
Digital technology	7	0
Electronics manufacturing	3	1
Electronics, sensors and photonics	5	2
Emerging technology	3	0
Energy - other	2	2
Energy systems	1	1
Independent living and wellbeing	1	0
Low carbon vehicles	1	0
Material recovery and treatment	2	0
Materials, process and manufacturing design technologies	2	0
Sensor and instrument design or manufacture	2	0
Therapeutic and medicine development	2	1
No Data Given	1	0
Grand Total	45	9

Table 4: Questions 19 & 20. The count of assessed applications by research category (feasibility studies, industrial research, experimental development) & The count of successful projects by research category

Research Category	Assessed Applications	Funded Applications
Feasibility studies	0	0
Industrial research	45	9
Experimental development	0	0
Grand Total	45	9

If you have any queries regarding our response or you are unhappy with the outcome of your request and wish to seek an internal review of the decision, please contact within the next 40 working days:

Head of Information Governance

Email: foi@ukri.org

Please quote the reference number above in any future communications.

If you are still not content with the outcome of the internal review, you may apply to refer the matter to the Information Commissioner for a decision. Generally, the ICO cannot make a decision unless you have exhausted the review procedure provided by UKRI. The Information Commissioner can be contacted at: www.ico.org.uk.

If you wish to raise a complaint regarding the service you have received or the conduct of any UKRI staff in relation to your request, please see [UKRI's complaints procedure](#)².

Yours sincerely,

² <https://www.ukri.org/who-we-are/contact-us/make-a-complaint/#skipnav-target>



Information Governance
Information Rights Team
UK Research and Innovation
foi@ukri.org | dataprotection@ukri.org